

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,184	11/05/2003	Ina Minei	Juniper-26 (JNP-0325)	7526
26479 7590 07/20/2099 STRAUB & POKOTYLO 788 Shrewsbury Avenue			EXAMINER	
			BAROT, BHARAT	
TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/702.184	MINEI ET AL.	
10/702,104	MINELET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bharat N. Barot	2455	

Bilalat N. Balot 2400				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
The reply filed 16 June 2009 is acknowledged.				
 ☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because: 				
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2). 				
 The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available. 				
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).				
3. 🖾 The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.				
4. ☐ Other:				
STATUS OF THE CLAIMS				
The status of claims contained in the reply brief is correct.				
Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 19, and 24-48 are pending and involving in this appeal.				
Claims 15, 18, and 20-23 have been canceled. Claims 1-28 are on appeal.				
Claims 14, 16, 17, 19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed inventions of the claims 14, 16, 17, 19, and 24 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.				
Claims 1-14, 16-17, 19, and 24-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tinsley et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,965,592) in view of Renwick et a (U.S. Patent No. 7,151,775).				
/Bharat N Barot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455 (571) 272-3979				